

## **Review**

# **Socio-economic and Environmental Impact of COVID-19: Risks and Rewards**

Menike, W.A.R.P.

Department of Fundamentals of Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, University of Colombo,  
Sri Lanka.

### **Abstract**

Two thousand twenty was a significant year for the world, changing drastically every aspect of human life and the environment within a short period of time due to the outbreak of COVID19. The pandemic affected human beings physically and psychologically and socioeconomically.

In January 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the outbreak of COVID-19 as a public health emergency. In spite of the panic created globally, the positive and negative elements resulting in risks and rewards have been discussed extensively. This narrative review further discusses the said elements presenting suggestions to reorganize the social systems to save the earth.

<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1402-9520>

Correspondence:

Email: rupapm@fnd.cmb.ac.lk

### **Introduction**

The pandemic affected globally; as of 30<sup>th</sup> January 2021, there have been 101,561,219 confirmed cases of COVID-19, including 2,196,944 deaths (WHO, 2021a). Sri Lanka confirmed 62,445 cases of COVID-19 and 305 deaths from 3<sup>rd</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> January 2020 (WHO, 2021b). The virus primarily spreads from person to person through droplets produced by sneezing, talking, coughing, and direct contact (Rume & Islam 2020). The scientists and experts suggest the use of non-pharmaceutical measures such as wearing face masks and washing hands with soap, regular use of antiseptic solutions and maintaining social distance to control the spread of the virus (Hui et al., 2020). Due to this outburst, almost seven billion people have started using protective measures, which created a considerable amount of refuse accumulating in the environment (WHO, 2020a). Restriction of movement due to an imposed lockdown (WHO, 2020b; Rume & Islam, 2020) affected individual life and social and socioeconomic environment, creating a significant decline in the global economy. (Saadat et al., 2020). Due to the pandemic curfews, people were confined to their residences and flights and all other modes of transport were cancelled worldwide, resulting in a drop in demand for fuel, thermal power and use of other industrial productions (Saadat et al.,

2020). After the outbreak it was reported that the quality of air and water in waterways had started to improve and wildlife flourishing (Lokhandwala & Gautam, 2020). The poorer families were less financially resilient and were more exposed to losing their jobs and earnings influencing their psychosocial environment. At the same time, their children are disadvantaged by school closures. Nevertheless, it increased the sense of social connectedness and morality of the family (Karunathilake, 2020).

Mental health services were provided by psychotherapists and psychologists for those who needed mental support (Liu et al., 2020). Effective waste management started to minimize possible secondary influences upon the health and the environment (Fadare & Okoffo, 2020). World organizations suggested attractive modifications to build a more sustainable and inclusive economy in affected countries (United Nations Sri Lanka, 2020).

The purpose of this narrative review is to explore recent research studies on COVID 19 and further discuss its adverse and positive indirect effects on human lives and environment and how to re-organize the affected social systems.

### **Methodology**

The scientific literature from 2019-2021 through PubMed, Research Gate and Google Scholar was conducted through electronic means. The key term(s) used were COVID-19, psychosocial, economic impact, impact on physical environment, positive and negative effects of COVID 19 and potential strategies or measures. In addition, the available published literature and information from reports published by different government and nongovernmental organizations and official websites were reviewed.

## Results

### Negative and Positive Impacts of COVID 19

#### *Effects on the physical environment*

The augmented usage of personal protective equipment (e.g., face masks, hand gloves, gowns, face shields, goggles, etc.) and their disposal posing health risk and a substantial amount of waste has generated an environmental burden (Fadare & Okoffo, 2020). In Wuhan, China, 200 tons of clinical wastes were removed daily, with a fourfold increase after the pandemic (Saadat et al., 2020). Similarly, in Asian countries, an increase in disposal of used masks and gloves piled upon the land, beaches and water systems, has been reported thereby worsening environmental pollution (Singh et al., 2020; Saadat et al., 2020). Various infectious and biomedical waste generated from the hospitals (Saadat et al., 2020) has numerous environmental effects on air, water, and soil (Rume & Islam, 2020). In India, the unsafe disposal of health care waste have made labourers, cleaners and trash collectors susceptible to the disease (Singh et al. 2020).

While, Italy has prohibited infected residents from sorting their waste, the United States of America (U.S.A.) has limited recycling programs, as there was a risk of COVID-19 spreading in waste recycling centres (Zambrano-Monserrate et al. 2020). Polypropylene and Tyvek used to manufacture N-95 masks, protective suits, gloves, and face shields can persist for a lengthy period in the environment and releasing toxic substances Singh et al. (2020). These substances can be dangerous to the human body and the natural inhabitation of both land and sea (WHO, 2020a).

Meanwhile, the worldwide COVID-19 pandemic has triggered numerous positive effects on the environment and the climate. As the world passes through the lockdown system, the accumulation of significant air pollutants and air contaminants such as NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, particulate matter, and gaseous and dust emissions have drastically fallen (Sarkodie & Owusu, 2020). Similarly, particulate matter has decreased during this period, especially in Asian (India, China) and European (France, Spain, and Italy) countries (Lokhandwala & Gautam, 2020).

The total daily CO<sub>2</sub> value declined globally during the pandemic. The low usage of oil (20–30%) and coal (50%) resulted in a 25% CO<sub>2</sub> decrease in the air in China, which is similar to 6% of global emissions (Espejo et al., 2020). Compared to the previous year, a significant reduction of CO, NO<sub>2</sub> levels (by 49%, 35%) has been reported in this period across Almaty in Kazakhstan (Kerimray et al., 2020). Additionally, Berman and Ebisu (2020) states that during the pandemic in the U.S.A., Nitrous Oxide declined around 25% concerning 2017–2019 levels and by 20–30% in Italy, France, Spain, and Germany.

The NO<sub>2</sub> level dropped by 70% in India, and up to 54.3% decrease of NO<sub>2</sub> was observed in Sao Paulo of Brazil Lokhandwala and Gautam (2020). Further, China deducted nearly 17% of national CO<sub>2</sub> emissions as they reduced almost 70% of domestic flights, compared to January 20, 2020 (Rume & Islam 2020). However, in contrast, household energy consumption is predicted to rise very quickly, around 6 to 8% in the U.S.A. (Saadat et al., 2020).

The study by Bera et al. (2020) further depict a significant reduction of surface temperature during the lockdown period compared with the previous years (2017–2019) in Kolkata City in India. The average value was 31.84°C during 2017–2019, and it identified that the average surface temperature in Kolkata during the specific period is 29.98°C in 2020, contributing to reduction of global warming (Sarkodie & Owusu, 2020).

In addition, noise level has been identified as a source of discomfort for people and environment that can change the ecosystems (Zambrano-Monserrate et al., 2020). The noise

reduction due to the decline of industrial activities, traffic congestion, and air flights during lockdown might have helped birds reproduce in town areas in the United Kingdom (Zollinger et al., 2019) positively influencing nature.

### **Effects on the socio-economic and psychosocial environment**

Factories and workplace closure due to lockdown and social distancing, made employees lose their careers and income. The number of malnourished people, estimated at 690 million, could increase up to 132 million by the end of 2020 (WHO, 2020b). This economic deceleration was due to social distancing, self-isolation, and lack of interaction. The COVID-19 impacts the worldwide economy (Keshky et al., 2020) and many countries face declining expected global trade.

The weakening of tourist arrivals and tourist revenue globally and a sudden decrease in air travel, hotel industry and related employment were reported during the pandemic (Kumudumali, 2020). Further, the tourism industry declined rapidly due to health and economic calamities with the spread of the coronavirus. It has been estimated by the United Nations World Tourism Organization that the pandemic has contributed to a loss of nearly 100-120 million jobs, more than 01 billion international tourist arrivals, with a loss of US\$ 910 to 1.1 trillion export incomes globally (Kumudumali, 2020). In Europe and America, overall rates of economies have declined as same as emerging economies (World Bank, 2020).

The employment rate in Sri Lanka decreased to 94.30% in the first quarter of 2020 from 95.50% in the fourth quarter of 2019 (Trading Economics, 2020). Furthermore, World Bank (2020) assumes that Sri Lanka would fight with the economy because the economic growth was between 3.0 and 0.5 in the country's first quarter of the year 2020. They expected this range to remain low during the year (Karunathilake,2020).

Considering the psychosocial environment, the health workers in the United Kingdom experienced depression and stress diminishing their quality of life (Keshky et al., 2020). As described by Saladino et al. (2020). The health

workers in the field working with COVID19 patients in India, Italy, and Spain suffered from acute psychological stress due to prolonged social isolation and fear of contaminating others (Mohindra et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). Moreover, evidence of increased intimate partner violence has directly threatened the well-being of children and those who are being abused and this hazard was predicted to be exacerbated by increased substance use during this period (Usher, Bhullar, Durkin, Gyamfi & Jackson, 2020).

Further, economic activity is also diminutive because stress is always forced on people globally, destructively affecting their minds and productivity (Keshky et al. 2020). The children in Italy and Spain were at risk of having anxiety and they showed behavioral changes such as nervousness, irritability, restlessness, difficulty concentrating, and loneliness (Orgilés et al., 2020). COVID-19 would negatively impact children's cognitive and non-cognitive skills attainment in Spain. (Di Pietro et al., 2020). When schools closed with the educational disruption, there were many negative consequences such as increased early marriages; young children were recruited into jobs, sexual abuse of girls and young women, teenage pregnancies, and growing child labour (UNESCO, 2020). Closures of universities and income loss made young adults experience poor mental health, anxiety, and depressive disorder (56%), substance use (25% vs 13%) and suicidal thoughts (26% vs 11%) (Saladino et al., 2020). Furthermore, as Pietro et al. (2020) mentioned, students obtain social skills by engaging in classroom activities, interacting with teachers and other students, which are essential for advancing positive self-esteem, sense of self, and self-confidence. Distance learning made children isolated in their homes losing the opportunity for socialization. Children from less advantaged backgrounds were less likely to have a suitable home learning environment and less access to digital technologies and devices. It has made students less externally motivated to engage in learning activities (Di Pietro et al., 2020). The weekly learning time of students aged between 10 and 19 years in Germany, Austria, and Switzerland during the COVID-19 lockdown was reduced between 4 and 8 hours, compared to when schools were open (Huber et al., 2020), as cited in Di Pietro et al. (2020).

Further, COVID-19 affects the quality of life and psychological health as the two factors determine the human living standards (Li et al. 2020). Sustainability of people's psychological health is damaged as they perceived negativity due to the pandemic preventive measures. Restricted and controlled personal activities adversely impact their learning, eating habits, gardening, dancing, meditation, exercising, and other activities (Yao et al., 2020).

However, COVID-19 has become a blessing in some aspects of life. The telecommunication industry in Sri Lanka provided free internet supply to the university sector during the coronavirus disease. It is reported that almost 540,000 teachers and students in Sri Lankan Universities, using Lanka Education and Research Network (LEARN) video conferencing solution, per week in July 2020. Online education saved time, facilitated physical distance, rendered flexibility in teaching delivery, provided opportunities to use modern technology and motivation to enhance relevant skills and empowered the students to learn continuously without spreading COVID-19 (Hayashi et al., 2020). People became familiar and cleverer in using modern technologies (Kamdi & Deogade, 2020).

Moreover, COVID-19 has become a "Bonding strength" and has provided an opportunity to improve family cohesiveness. Many children have the advantage of spending more time with their parents being restricted to their homes. They get daily meals and bedtime patterns were more regular than during the pre-COVID period (The Committee for the Coordination of Statistical Activities, 2020). Realizing the importance of life people started to take care of old parents and themselves by engaging recreations and interests such as painting, cooking, gardening, writing poetry and articles (Kamdi & Deogade, 2020).

## **Discussion**

One of the positive effects on the environment and the climate was reducing NO<sub>2</sub> levels. During this period, the level of NO<sub>2</sub> as a result of the pandemic especially in India (Bera et al., 2020), Sao Paulo of Brazil (Lokhandwala & Gautam, 2020), U.S.A. (Berman & Ebisu, 2020), Italy, France, Spain and Germany (Sarkodie and Owusu 2020).

It is necessary to reconsider the threats to ecosystems and wildlife, including climate change, habitat loss and pollution, and plan strategies to protect the natural environment envisaging a sustainable tomorrow. The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) is planning to introduce site-specific approaches to diminish the risk of such dreadful diseases in the future (UNEP, 2020). This concept necessitates the careful and responsible management of harmful medical and chemical waste, facilitating the conversion to carbon neutral economies, global safety, conserving nature and biodiversity and creating green jobs. Further, UNEP is willing to help states recovering from COVID-19 by introducing green financial stimulus packages and economic policies to achieve these sustainable developing goals. Further, to regulate the release of dangerous chemicals in the atmosphere, UNEP cooperates with the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) the WHO and governments.

Although the pandemic had an adverse impact on incomes, work patterns, and subjective wellbeing (Zhou & Kan 2021) a lockdown cannot be imposed indefinitely. It is evident that industries cannot be closed down for a lengthy period nor can movements be restricted, yet patterns of living can be changed and thoughtful approaches can be implemented. In any case, as typically a temporary shut-down of mechanical, commercial and transport exercises, the shared environment can reestablish its steadiness (Kelshy et al., 2020).

The effects of the pandemic are numerous, among them specially longtime isolation, lack of supplies and information, economic loss and stigma has given way to fear of the infection, frustration and boredom. Promoting psychological interventions is essential for the population who is more likely to have psychological sufferings (Saladino et al., 2020). As a result of the emerging issues, psychological support was provided online, inspite of the technological challenge (Liu et al., 2020). for those affected due to idleness, career loss, salary reduction, loneliness, and worries of family wellbeing, personalized interventions has to be initiated.. Creative solutions of many countries include crisis hotlines, teleconsultations, digital self-help platforms, psychotropic medicines and rendering psychosocial support. They are being used to

overcome service interruptions and preserve care for those with psychological conditions (Saladino et al., 2020; Kang et al., 2020). It is noted that parents experienced extensive mental stress finding themselves inadequate to give needed care to their children in a time of quarantine. After the lockdown, parents experienced a quicker and more extensive decay in mental well-being. The decrease in subjective wellbeing was not recovered after the lockdown measures were facilitated in some societies. It infers that the pandemic will have long-lasting negative impacts on people's mental wellbeing. Offering a nature-based coping mechanism during times of personal or public stress and adequate urban green space could be provided as remedies for all sections of society (Kang et al., 2020).

Easy access to expert exposure to educational environments, and connecting student communities, increased flexibility and learning prospects are the number of rewards due to the effectiveness of online education. However, there are also several disadvantages of online education: computer compatibility, internet browsing issues, financial issues, or technical issues. Online education courses or projects can be used for university and high school students to utilize online tools in distance learning activities (Butnaru et al. 2021).

Providing laptops and high-speed, uninterrupted, inexpensive internet access, particularly for students in or remote areas with fewer facilities, is pivotal in ensuring equal access to tertiary education (Hayashi et al. 2020). The World Bank (2020) mediates as one of the most substantial funding sources and education for developing countries. The World Bank takes more extensive actions to help developing countries that suffered a lot after a COVID outbreak to strengthen their economics. They support public health interpositions, supplying essential, necessary supplies and

equipment, and help the private sector sustain jobs. The World Bank is arranging almost \$160 billion in financial assistance for more than one year to support more than 100 nations to protect the poor and vulnerable (World Bank, 2020).

### **Conclusion and Recommendations**

The world will meet many long terms and short-term impacts of COVID-19. The COVID-19 pandemic impacts the global economy, human life and ultimately, the climate and the environment directly or indirectly. The pandemic changed the pattern of family life all over the world. A notable reward of COVID-19 was the impact of family life, giving new light to inter-family relationships. Especially children, the elderly and health workers were affected by the virus. The restricted human activities have also contributed towards a cleaner environment.

Therefore, considering the risks and benefits on the environment, the partial lockdown will benefit the health and well-being of the environment as a whole, rather than a tenacious global curse. The impact of COVID 19 on the physical, psychosocial and economic environment should be considered an environmental grace and a blessing globally.

The administrators, scientists, policymakers and researchers must reexamine environmental and socioeconomic impact of COVID19 to help control future outbreaks, to develop new tools and methods to ensure recovery. In addition, it is needed to plan strategies to save the earth based on socio-economic and environmental terms, not only on health sciences. The health innovation must address the risks of COVID-19 infection to limit this outbreak, and must be given special consideration to develop sustainable strategies protect people from a future pandemic.

### **References**

Bera, B., Bhattacharjee, S., Kumar Shit, P.,

Sengupta, N. & Saha, S. (2020). Significant impacts of COVID- 19 lockdown on urban air pollution in Kolkata (India) and

amelioration of environmental health. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-00898-5>

Berman, J. D., & Ebisu, K. (2020). Changes in US air pollution during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Science of the Total Environment*, 739, 139864.

- Butnaru, G.I.; Nit, ă, V.; Anichiti, A.; Brînză, G. (2021). The Effectiveness of Online Education during Covid 19 Pandemic—A Comparative Analysis between the Perceptions of Academic Students and High School Students from Romania. *Sustainability* 2021, 13, 5311. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13095311>
- Di Pietro, G., Biagi, F., Costa, P., Karpiński, Z., & Mazza, J. (2020). The likely impact of COVID-19 on education: Reflections based on the existing literature and recent international datasets (Vol. 30275). Publications Office of the European Union.
- Espejo, W., Celisa, J. E., Chiang, G. & Bahamonde, P. (2020). Environment and COVID-19: Pollutants, impacts, dissemination, management and recommendations for facing future epidemic threats. *Science of the Total Environment*, 747 (14) <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32795798/>
- Fadare, O. O., & Okoffo, E. D. (2020). Covid-19 face masks: A potential source of microplastic fibers in the environment. *The Science of the total environment*, 737, 140279.
- Hui, D.S., Azhar, E., Madani, T.A., Ntoumi, F., Kock, R. & Dar, O. (2020). The continuing 2019-nCoV epidemic threat of novel coronaviruses to global health-The latest 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in Wuhan, China. *Int. J. Infect. Dis*, 91,264– 266.
- Hayashi, R., Maddawin, A., Garcia M. & Hewagamage, K. P. (2020). Online Learning in Sri Lanka Higher education institutions during the COVID-19 pandemic *ADB BRIEFS NO. 151* September. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22617/BF210081-2>
- I.M.F. (2020). World Economic Outlook Update, June 2020: A crisis like no other, an uncertain recovery. <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/>
- Kang, L., Li, Y., Hu, S., Chen, M., Yang, C., Yang, B. X., ... & Liu, Z. (2020). The mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing with the 2019 novel coronavirus. *The Lancet Psychiatry*.
- Karunathilake, K. (2020) Positive and negative impacts of COVID- 19, an analysis with special reference to challenges on the supply chain in South Asian countries, *Journal of Social and Economic Development*, Sep 2 : 1–14. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7467397/>
- Keshky, M., El S., Basyou, S. & Sabban, A. M. A. (2020). Getting Through COVID-19: The Pandemic's Impact on the Psychology of Sustainability, Quality of Life, and the Global Economy – A Systematic Review *Front. Psychol.*, November 12 2020 | <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.585897> <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.585897/full>
- Kerimray, A., Baimatova, N., Ibragimova, O.P., Bukenov, B. Kenessov, B., Plotitsyn, P & Karaca P. (2020). Assessing air quality changes in large cities during COVID-19 lockdowns: The impacts of traffic-free urban conditions in Almaty, Kazakhstan. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020 Aug 15; 730: 139179.
- Kumudumali, S. H. T. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on Tourism Industry: A Review. M.P.R.A. Paper <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/102834/>
- Kamdi, P. S. & Deogade, M.S. (2020). The hidden positive effects of COVID-19 pandemic *International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2020, 11 (SPL)(1), 276-279
- Li, S., Wang, Y., Xue, J., Zhao, N., & Zhu, T. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 epidemic declaration on psychological consequences: a study on active Weibo users. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(6), 2032.
- Liu, S., Yang, L., Zhang, C., Xiang, Y. T., Liu, Z., Hu, S., & Zhang, B. (2020). Online mental health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 7(4), e17-e18.
- Lokhandwala, S. & Gautam, P. (2020). Indirect impact of COVID-19 on environment: A brief study in Indian context *Environmental Research*, 188 (2020) 109807
- Mohindra, R., Rava, V. Surib, V. Bhallab, A. & Singh, M. (2020). Getting through COVID-19: The pandemic's impact on the psychology of sustainability, quality of life, and the global economy – (A Systematic Review) *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 51 (2020) 102084
- Orgilés, M., Morales, M., Delvecchio, E., Mazzeschi, C. & Espada, J. P. (2020). Immediate psychological effects of the COVID-19 quarantine in youth from Italy and Spain *Front. Psychol.*, November 6, 2020, |

<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.579038/full>

Rume, T. & Islam, S.M.D.U.(2020). Environmental effects of COVID-19 pandemic and potential strategies of sustainability *Heliyon* 6 (2020) e04965  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7498239/>

Singh, D., Gautam, A.S., Patni, B. & Nautiyal, A. K.(2020). Impact assessment of COVID 19 outbreak on higher education in India *International Journal of Management*, 11(9), 2020, pp. 429-434

Sarkodie, S. A. & Owusu, P. A. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on waste management *Environment, Development and Sustainability- 23*(5), 7951-7960

Saladino, V, Algeri, D. & Auriemma, V. (2020). The psychological and social impact of Covid-19: New perspectives of wellbeing. *Front. Psychol.*, 11:577684.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.577684

Saadat, A., Rawtani, D. & Hussain, C. M. (2020). Environmental perspective of COVID 19 *Science of the Total Environment*, 728 (2020) 138870

Trading Economics. (2020). Sri Lanka G.D.P. from agriculture. [tradingeconomics.com](http://tradingeconomics.com). Retrieved November 10, 2020, from <https://tradingeconomics.com/sri-lanka/gdp-from-agriculture>

The Committee for the Coordination of Statistical Activities (2020). How COVID-19 is changing the world: a statistical perspective (2020)

<https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=2ahUKEwjqrVHA7bTyAhWC7XMBHSnzDQUQFnoECAoQAQ&url=https%3A%2F%2Funstats.un.org%2Funsd%2Fccsa%2Fdocuments%2Fcovid19-report-ccsa.pdf&usq=AOvVaw3OiwMoIodOo0Eh0L-Jn1ON>

UNEP (2020). UNEP Environment Programme <https://www.unep.org/unep-and-covid> UNESCO (2020). <https://en.unesco.org/covid19/educationresponse/consequences>

United Nation Sri Lanka (2020). Tackling the COVID-19 economic crisis in Sri Lanka: Providing universal, lifecycle social protection transfers to protect lives and bolster economic recovery. *United Nation working paper*

Usher, K., Bhullar, N., Durkin, J., Gyamfi, N., & Jackson, D. (2020). Family violence and COVID-19: Increased vulnerability and reduced options for support. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*. Advance online publication.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/inm.12735>

World Bank. (2020). Countries Can Take Steps Now to Rebuild from COVID-19  
<https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2020/06/02/countries-can-take-steps-now-to-speed-recovery-from-covid>

WHO( 2021a) Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) <https://covid19.who.int/> WHO 2021b - (COVID-19) Global> Sri Lanka  
<https://covid19.who.int/region/searo/country/lk>

WHO, (2020a) . Rational use of personal protective equipment (P.P.E.) for coronavirus disease (COVID-19).

WHO (2020b). Impact of COVID-19 on people's livelihoods, their health and our food systems.  
<https://www.who.int/news/item/13-10-2020-impact-of-covid-19-on-people%27s-livelihoods-their-health-and-our-food-systems>

Yao, H., Chen, J. H., & Xu, Y. F. (2020). Rethinking online mental health services in China during the COVID-19 epidemic. *Asian journal of psychiatry*, 50, 102015.

Zollinger, S. A., Dorado-Correa, A. Goymann, W. Forstmeier, W. Knief, U. Bastidas-Urrutia, A.M. & Brumm, Henrik. (2019) Traffic noise exposure depresses plasma corticosterone and delays offspring growth in breeding zebra finches *Conservation Physiology*, Volume 7, Issue 1, 2020, coz056, <https://academic.oup.com/conphys/article/7/1/coz056/5585689>

Zambrano-Monserrate, M. A., Ruano, M. A. & Sanchez-Alcalde, L. (2020). Indirect effects of COVID-19 on the environment. *Science of The Total Environment*, August 1 2020, 138813

Zhou M, & Kan M-Y (2021). The varying impacts of COVID-19 and its related measures in the UK: A year in review. *PLoS ONE* 16(9): e0257286.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257286>

